### PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

### From the INTERNATIONAL BUREAU

### **PCT**

NOTIFICATION CONCERNING TRANSMITTAL OF COPY OF INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY (CHAPTER I OF THE PATENT COOPERATION TREATY)

(PCT Rule 44bis,1(c))

To:

MALLON, Joseph, J. KNOBBE MARTENS OLSON & BEAR LLP 2040 Main Street 14th Floor Irvine, California 92614 ETATS-UNIS D'AMERIQUE

Date of mailing (day/month/year) 14 June 2007 (14.06.2007)			, MAN
Applicant's or agent's file reference NEREUS.109VP			IMPORTANT NOTICE
International application No. PCT/US2005/043668	International filing of 02 December	date (day/month/year) 2005 (02.12.2005)	Priority date (day/month/year) 03 December 2004 (03.12.2004)
Applicant	DANA FARBER CA	NCER INSTITUTE et al	<u> </u>

The International Bureau transmits herewith a copy of the international preliminary report on patentability (Chapter I of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

The International Bureau of WIPO 34, chemin des Colombettes 1211 Geneva 20, Switzerland

Authorized officer

Dorothée Mülhausen

e-mail: pt01.pct@wipo.int

Facsimile No. +41 22 338 82 70

### PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

### **PCT**

## INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY (Chapter I of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Rule 44bis)

Applicant's or agent's file reference NEREUS.109VP	FOR FURTHER ACTION	Priority date (day/month/year) 03 December 2004 (03.12.2004)	
International application No. PCT/US2005/043668	International filing date (day/month/year) 02 December 2005 (02.12.2005)		
International Patent Classification (8th edition unless older edition indicated) See relevant information in Form PCT/ISA/237			
Applicant DANA FARBER CANCER INSTITUTE			

1.	This international preliminary re International Searching Authori	eport on patentability (Chapter I) is issued by the International Bureau on behalf of the ty under Rule 44 bis.1(a).		
2.	This REPORT consists of a total of 8 sheets, including this cover sheet.  In the attached sheets, any reference to the written opinion of the International Searching Authority should be read as a reference to the international preliminary report on patentability (Chapter I) instead.			
3.	This report contains indications	relating to the following items:		
	Box No. I	Basis of the report		
	Box No. II	Priority		
	Box No. III	Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability		
	Box No. IV	Lack of unity of invention		
	Box No. V	Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement		
	Box No. VI	Certain documents cited		
	Box No. VII	Certain defects in the international application		
	Box No. VIII	Certain observations on the international application		
4.	The International Bureau will conot, except where the applicant date (Rule 44bis .2).	ommunicate this report to designated Offices in accordance with Rules 44bis.3(c) and 93bis.1 but makes an express request under Article 23(2), before the expiration of 30 months from the priority		

	Date of issuance of this report 05 June 2007 (05.06.2007)		
The International Bureau of WIPO 34, chemin des Colombettes 1211 Geneva 20, Switzerland	Authorized officer  Dorothée Mülhausen		
Facsimile No. +41 22 338 82 70	e-mail: pt01.pct@wipo.int		

Form PCT/IB/373 (January 2004)

### PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

From the INTERN.		RCHING AUTH	ORITY			7	
To:				REC'D	1 1 MAY 200	PCT	
				WIPO	}	CT	
	see form	PCT/ISA/220				RITTEN OPINION OF T	
	000 10/111	O MONIEZO			INTERNA	FIONAL SEARCHING A	JTHORITY
						(PCT Rule 43bis.1)	
					Date of mailing	) see form PCT/ISA/210 (second si	neet)
					(,	,	
	nt's or agent's file rm PCT/ISA/22				FOR FURTH See paragraph	IER ACTION 2 below	
Internati	ional application (	No.	International f	iling date (d	day/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/yea.	<del>")</del>
PCT/U	IS2005/04366	3	02.12.2005	-		03.12.2004	
Internati	ional Patent Class	sification (IPC) or	both national cla	assification	and IPC		
	61K31 <i>I</i> 397 A6						
Applicar	at						
		CEUTICALS, I	NC.				
1. T	his oninion co	ntains indicati	ons relating t	to the follo	owina items:		
	_				ownig nome.		
_	Box No. 1	Basis of the op	oinion				
	Box No. II	Priority					
	Box No. III	Non-establishr	ment of opinio	n with rega	ard to novelty, in	ventive step and industrial applic	ability
	Box No. IV	Lack of unity o					
×	Box No. V	Reasoned stat applicability; ci	tement under fi stations and ex	Rule 43 <i>bis</i> planations	:.1(a)(i) with reg- s supporting suc	urd to novelty, inventive step or in a statement	ıdustrial
×	Box No. VI	Certain docum	ents cited				
	Box No. VII	Certain defect	s in the interna	itional app	lication		
	Box No. VIII	Certain observ	ations on the	internatior	nal application		
2. F	URTHER ACT	ON					
w th In	ritten opinion o ne applicant cho	f the Internation poses an Author eau under Rule	al Preliminary ity other than t	Examining this one to	g Authority ("IPE be the IPEA ar	n will usually be considered to b A") except that this does not app d the chosen IPEA has notifed the ternational Searching Authority	ly where
sı fr	ubmit to the IPE	A a written replination in a contract the contract of the cont	ly together, wh	ere appro	priate, with ame	f the IPEA, the applicant is invite ndments, before the expiration o 22 months from the priority date.	f 3 months
F	or further option	ns, see Form PC	CT/ISA/220.				
3. F	or further detail	s, see notes to	Form PCT/ISA	/220.			
Name a	and mailing addre	ss of the ISA:		Date of o	ompletion of	Authorized Officer	
	<u> </u>			this opini			September released.
S	D-80298 N	Patent Office funich		see form	210	Young, A	(0))
		9 2399 - 0 Tx: 523 19 2399 - 4465	3656 epmu d	FOIRSAL	-10	Telephone No. ÷49 89 2399-7811	The Polyec onto party

## WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/US2005/043668

_	Во	x No.	Basis of the opinion	
1.	. With regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of:			
	×	the in	ternational application in the language in which it was filed	
		a trai purpo	nslation of the international application into , which is the language of a translation furnished for the oses of international search (Rules 12.3(a) and 23.1 (b)).	
2.	With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:			
	a. t	ype of	material:	
		□а	sequence listing	
		□ ta	ble(s) related to the sequence listing	
	b. f	ormat	of material:	
		□ or	n paper	
		□ in	electronic form	
	c. t	ime of	filing/furnishing:	
			ontained in the international application as filed.	
		□ fil	ed together with the international application in electronic form.	
		□ fu	rnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.	
3.		has b	dition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional is is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as opriate, were furnished.	
4.	Add	ditiona	comments:	

# WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/US2005/043668

Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability					
The questions whether the claimed invention appears to be novel, to involve an inventive step (to be non obvious), or to be industrially applicable have not been examined in respect of					
	the entire international application				
$\boxtimes$	claims Nos. 1-24 and 31-37				
bec	eause:				
×	the said international application, or the said claims Nos. with respect to Industrial Applicability relate to the following subject matter which does not require an international search (specify):				
	see separate sheet				
	the description, claims or drawings (indicate particular elements below) or said claims Nos. are so unclear that no meaningful opinion could be formed (specify):				
	the claims, or said claims Nos. are so inadequately supported by the description that no meaningful opinion could be formed (specify):				
	no international search report has been established for the whole application or for said claims Nos.				
	a meaningful opinion could not be formed without the sequence listing; the applicant did not, within the prescribed time limit:				
	furnish a sequence listing on paper complying with the standard provided for in Annex C of the Administrative Instructions, and such listing was not available to the International Searching Authority in a form and manner acceptable to it.				
	furnish a sequence listing in electronic form complying with the standard provided for in Annex C of the Administrative Instructions, and such listing was not available to the International Searching Authority in a form and manner acceptable to it.				
	pay the required late furnishing fee for the furnishing of a sequence listing in response to an invitation under Rules 13 ter.1(a) or (b).				
	a meaningful opinion could not be formed without the tables related to the sequence listings; the applicant did not, within the prescribed time limit, furnish such tables in electronic form complying with the technical requirements provided for in Annex C-bis of the Administrative Instructions, and such tables were not available to the International Searching Authority in a form and manner acceptable to it.				
	the tables related to the nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence listing, if in electronic form only, do not comply with the technical requirements provided for in Annex C-bis of the Administrative Instructions.				
П	See Supplemental Box for further details				

## WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/US2005/043668

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N)

Yes: Claims

1-11, 31-37

No: Claims

12-30

Inventive step (IS)

Yes: Claims

1-11, 37

No: Claims

12-36

Industrial applicability (IA)

Yes: Claims

25-30

No: Claims

2. Citations and explanations

see separate sheet

### Box No. VI Certain documents cited

 Certain published documents (Rules 43bis.1 and 70.10) and /or

2. Non-written disclosures (Rules 43bis.1 and 70.9)

see form 210

#### Re Item III:

 Claims 1-24 and 31-37 relate to subject-matter considered by this Authority to be covered by the provisions of Rule 67.1(iv) PCT. Consequently, no opinion will be formulated with respect to the industrial applicability of the subject-matter of these claims (Article 34(4)(a)(l) PCT).

#### Re Item V:

- 2. The documents considered in the present processing are consecutively numbered D1-D6; this numbering results from the citations D1-D6 found in the International Search Report (ISR) of the corresponding PCT application. It will be adhered to in the rest of the procedure. The cited passage(s) for each citation will be considered unless otherwise specified.
- 3. The application refers to a method of treating a neoplastic disease with a compound of formula (I), preferably salinosporamide A, wherein the neoplastic disease is susceptible to resistance to at least one other chemotherapeutic agent. Further, a method of treating a neoplastic disease with a compound of formula (I) in combination with at least one additional chemotherapeutic agent and a pharmaceutical composition comprising a compound of formula (I) in combination with at least one additional chemotherapeutic agent is claimed. Finally, a method of treating a neoplastic disease comprising a synergistic combination of at least two proteosome inhibitors is claimed.
- 4. Novelty, Article 33(2) PCT
- 4.1 The subject-matter of claims 12-30 is considered to lack novelty over the disclosure of D1 within the meaning of Article 33(2) PCT for the following reasons:
  - D1 discloses salinosporamide A, which is encompassed by formula (I), for the treatment of neoplastic diseases, e.g. non-small-cell lung cancer or prostate cancer. Also the combination chemotherapy of salinosporamide compounds with other neoplastic agents, e.g. doxorubicin, tamoxifen is disclosed.
- 4.2. The subject-matter of claims 1-11 and 31-37 is novel over the cited prior art within the meaning of Article 33(2) PCT.

None of the cited references discloses a method of treating a neoplastic disease with a compound of formula (I), preferably salinosporamide A, wherein the neoplastic disease is susceptible to resistance to at least one other chemotherapeutic agent.

Also a method of treating a neoplastic disease comprising a synergistic combination of at least two proteosome inhibitors is not found in the cited prior art.

- 5. Inventive step, Article 33(3) PCT
- The object underlying the present application is the provision of a method of treating a neoplastic disease, wherein the neoplastic disease is susceptible to resistance to at least one other chemotherapeutic agent.

The posed solution is the use of a compound of formula (I), preferably salinosporamide A.

The use of salinosporamide A for the treatment of neoplastic diseases is known from the cited prior art.

Document D2 discloses the in vitro cytotoxicity of salinosporamide A in different cancer cell lines.

However, the use for a salinosporamide for the treatment of a neoplastic disease, wherein the neoplastic disease is susceptible to resistance to at least one other chemotherapeutic agent is not known and also not suggested in the cited prior art.

Thus, an inventive step can be acknowledged for the subject-matter of claims 1-11 within the meaning of Article 33(3) PCT.

5.2 The subject-matter of claims 31-37 relates to a method of treating a neoplastic disease comprising a synergistic combination of at least two proteosome inhibitors.

Thus, the object underlying these claims is the provision of a method of treating a neoplastic disease.

The posed solution is a synergistic combination of at least two proteosome

inhibitors.

The application shows a synergistic effect for the combination of salinosporamide A and bortezomib.

However, a synergistic effect cannot be generalized to all possible combinations of at least two proteosome inhibitors.

In conclusion, an inventive step is acknowledged for the subject-matter of claim 37 within the meaning of Article 33(3) PCT.

The subject-matter of claims 31-36 does not involve an inventive step under Article 33(3) PCT.

6. Certain published documents (Rule 70.10)

D4: WO 2005/002572 A (NEREUS PHARMACEUTICALS, INC; PALLADINO, MICHAEL; NEUTEBOOM, SASKIA, TH) 13 January 2005 (2005-01-13) D5: WILLIAMS PHILIP G ET AL: "New cytotoxic salinosporamides from the marine actinomycete Salinispora tropica" JOURNAL OF ORGANIC CHEMISTRY, vol. 70, no. 16, August 2005 (2005-08), pages 6196-6203, XP002376431 ISSN: 0022-3263 D6: MACHERLA VENKAT R ET AL: "Structure-activity relationship studies of salinosporamide a (NPI-0052), a novel marine derived proteasome inhibitor" JOURNAL OF MEDICINAL CHEMISTRY, vol. 48, no. 11, June 2005 (2005-06), pages 3684-3687, XP002376432 ISSN: 0022-2623

The above cited documents could become relevant by entering the regional European phase.